

## THE METHODS FOR TRANSFORMATION OF PARALLEL AND SEQUENT AUTOMATA INTO VHDL-DESCRIPTIONS

**Pyotr Bibilo**

United Institute of Informatics Problems, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus  
Surganov str., 6. 220012 Minsk, Belarus

*e-mail: bibilo@newman.bas-net.by*

### SUMMARY

The developed methods for transformation of parallel and sequent automata allow one to obtain VHDL descriptions in the synthesized subset of the language that LeonardoSpectrum synthesizer works with.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

To describe the behavior of digital systems a number of languages (models) are developed. One of such models is the parallel automaton [1]. The advantage of this model is convenience of the initial description of behavior of a digital system and simplicity of its circuit implementation in the form of programmable logical array (PLA) with the memory as a RS-flip-flop register. The model of sequent automaton is intermediate one when a parallel automaton described in PRALU language is implemented in a circuit. The PRALU language is intended to describe parallel algorithms of logical control. The logical "orderliness", simplicity, compactness of descriptions, binary (Boolean) variables using as input and output ones of the control device whose algorithm is given in the language are characteristic features of PRALU language. The whole description of PRALU language is in [1]. VHDL is an international standard in CAD systems and intended for specification, simulation and synthesis of digital systems based on very large-scale integrated (VLSI) circuits that are custom made and programmable by the user [2]. The transition from the models of parallel and sequent automata to VHDL models is of practical interest. In this paper, methods for transforming symbolic PRALU descriptions of parallel automata and matrix descriptions of sequent automata into algorithmic synthesized VHDL descriptions for LeonardoSpectrum synthesizer [2] are suggested. This synthesizer allows one to synthesize circuits implemented both in programmable logic circuits of FPGA (Field-Programmable Gate Arrays) or CPLD (Complex Programmable Logic Devices) kind and as parts of custom made VLSI circuits.

VHDL description is synthesized if automatic construction of logic circuits in given technological bases is possible.

## 2. PARALLEL AUTOMATON

Using in the practice of designing digital systems the languages and formal models allowing one to describe parallelism and asynchronous working of logical control is widening. One of such models is model of *parallel automaton*. In [1] PRALU language is used to describe the functioning of a parallel automaton. We take PRALU description of parallel automaton consisting of elementary chains as the initial one. According to [1] we call *elementary* a chain of the following form:

$$\mu_i : -k_i' \rightarrow k_i'' \rightarrow v_i, \quad (1)$$

where operation  $-k_i'$  or  $\rightarrow k_i''$  or both may be absent. In general case, an elementary chain consists of four parts:

$\mu_i$  is the set of the initial marks of the chain;

$-k_i'$  is the operation of waiting of event  $k_i'$ ;

$\rightarrow k_i''$  is the act operation;

$v_i$  is the set of the final marks of the chain.

The colon in formula (1) is a spacer, and the arrow before  $v_i$  is the symbol of the operation of inserting elements to the current chain start set. Set  $M$  called *chain start set* is obtained by union of sets of initial and final marks of all chains. First, we explain what are the wait and act operations. Then, we explain how an algorithm is executed as a whole, i.e. how chains are executed, how they interact, and what is their place in set  $M$ .

In formula (1),  $k_i'$  and  $k_i''$  are elementary conjunctions of Boolean variables. Conjunctions  $k_i'$  are constituted of letters of Boolean variables from set  $X$ , and conjunctions  $k_i''$  are constituted of letters of Boolean variables from set  $Y$ . If conjunction  $k_i'(k_i'')$  is absent in (1), it is supposed to be equal to 1 identically. Operation  $-k_i'$  is a wait operation that waits for event  $k_i'$ , that means waiting for the event when the variables in conjunction  $k_i'$  take values that reduce  $k_i'$  to 1. Act operation  $\rightarrow k_i''$  means assigning the values to the variables of conjunction  $k_i''$  that reduce it to 1.

The chain (1) starts if set  $\mu_i$  is in the current start set and wait operation  $-k_i'$  is fulfilled. The starting of the chain consists of immediate removal of set  $\mu_i$  from the current start set that is followed by fulfilling the act operation  $\rightarrow k_i''$ . Then, the elements of set  $v_i$  are added to the current start set immediately. At the beginning of the algorithm execution, the mark 1 is introduced into the current start set. The algorithm execution ends when the start set contain the final mark. When the algorithm is executing some chains can be fulfilled simultaneously

(in parallel), therefore such a formalism allows one to describe parallel logical control algorithms. A set of elementary chains is shown in [1] to be a parallel automaton. At that, a set of such chains must satisfy certain requirements, e.g. chains  $i$  and  $j$  that have the same set of initial marks must have orthogonal conjunctions in the wait operations. Other requirements to correctness of initial PRALU descriptions are in [1]. Assume the initial PRALU description to be correct.

Let us consider the problem of transforming PRALU descriptions into VHDL codes. It is natural that first, if necessary, one must change the identifiers of PRALU language according to the requirements of VHDL. Then, the transformation of PRALU descriptions into VHDL codes can be automated completely. Now we show how can it be done. Let us consider the example of PRALU description from [3] where  $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$ ,  $Y = \{y_1, y_2\}$ :

$1: -x_1x_2 \rightarrow y_1\bar{y}_2 \rightarrow 10$   
 $10: -\bar{x}_2 \rightarrow 2.3.4$   
 $3.5: -x_2 \rightarrow 8$   
 $4: -\bar{x}_1 \rightarrow \bar{y}_1 \rightarrow 7$   
 $4: -x_1 \rightarrow y_2 \rightarrow 9$   
 $7: -\bar{x}_2 \rightarrow 9$   
 $6.8.9: \rightarrow y_2 \rightarrow 11$   
 $11: -x_1 \rightarrow 1$   
 $2: \rightarrow \bar{y}_1 \rightarrow 5.6$

We suggest to represent VHDL description of a parallel automaton (Listing 1) as two interacting processes (operator `process`). The first process (`process p1`) defines in the current time step  $t_i$  the elementary chains that work in parallel and defines the next (for the next time step  $t_{i+1}$  of the discrete time) values of variables  $y_j$  and the values of variables of the chain start set. The prepared values of the act variables are denoted as  $n\_y_j$  and the prepared values of the variables of the start set as  $n\_z_i$ . Every chain is assumed to be fulfilled during one time step of discrete time (if it can do it).

The second process (`process p2`) changes a time step by the front of the synchronization signal, CLK. To do it operator `if (CLK='1' and CLK'event) THEN` of finding the front CLK. The automaton is set to the initial state (the act variables are equal to 0 and the start set has only variable  $z_1$ ) by value 1 of signal `rst` of permission that has the higher priority in comparison with other input signals. At the moment of changing the time step, the signal values that correspond to the wait variables must be established. If no elementary chain starts in the current time step, the chain start set does not change for the next time step.

Listing 1. VHDL model of parallel automaton, PA.

```

LIBRARY work;
USE work.wire.all;
entity PA is
port (clk, rst, x1, x2 : in bit;
      y1, y2 : inout RESOLVED_BIT);
end;
ARCHITECTURE BEHAVIOR OF PA IS
signal z1, z2, z3, z4, z5, z6, z7, z8, z9, z10, z11 : RESOLVED_BIT;
signal n_z1, n_z2, n_z3, n_z4, n_z5, n_z6,
      n_z7, n_z8, n_z9, n_z10, n_z11 : RESOLVED_BIT;
signal n_y1, n_y2 : RESOLVED_BIT;
begin
p1 : PROCESS (z1, z2, z3, z4, z5, z6, z7, z8, z9, z10, z11, x1, x2)
begin
    n_z1 <= z1; n_z2 <= z2; n_z3 <= z3;
    n_z4 <= z4; n_z5 <= z5; n_z6 <= z6; n_z7 <= z7;
    n_z8 <= z8; n_z9 <= z9; n_z10 <= z10; n_z11 <= z11;
    n_y1 <= '0'; n_y2 <= '0';
    if ((z1 and not x1 and x2) = '1') then                                -- line 1
n_z1 <= '0'; n_y1 <= '1'; n_y2 <= '0'; n_z10 <= '1';
    end if;
    if ((z10 and not x2) = '1') then                                        -- line 2
n_z10 <= '0'; n_z2 <= '1'; n_z3 <= '1'; n_z4 <= '1';
    end if;
    if ((z3 and z5 and x2) = '1') then                                    -- line 3
n_z3 <= '0'; n_z5 <= '0'; n_z8 <= '1';
    end if;
    if ((z4 and not x1) = '1') then                                        -- line 4
n_z4 <= '0'; n_y1 <= '0'; n_z7 <= '1';
    end if;
    if ((z4 and x1) = '1') then                                           -- line 5
n_z4 <= '0'; n_y2 <= '1'; n_z9 <= '1';
    end if;
    if ((z7 and not x2) = '1') then                                        -- line 6
n_z7 <= '0'; n_z9 <= '1';
    end if;
    if ((z6 and z8 and z9) = '1') then                                    -- line 7
n_z6 <= '0'; n_z8 <= '0'; n_z9 <= '0'; n_y2 <= '0'; n_z11 <= '1';
    end if;
    if ((z11 and x1) = '1') then                                          -- line 8
n_z11 <= '0'; n_z1 <= '1';
    end if;
    if (z2 = '1') then                                                    -- line 9
n_z2 <= '0'; n_y1 <= '0'; n_z5 <= '1'; n_z6 <= '1';
    end if;
END PROCESS p1;
p2: PROCESS (CLK, rst)
    BEGIN
    if (rst = '1') then
y1 <= '0'; y2 <= '0';                                                    -- initial state
z1 <= '1'; z2 <= '0'; z3 <= '0';
z4 <= '0'; z5 <= '0'; z6 <= '0'; z7 <= '0';
z8 <= '0'; z9 <= '0'; z10 <= '0'; z11 <= '0';
        elsif (rst = '0') then
            if (CLK='1' AND CLK'event) then
                if (n_z1 or z2 or n_z3 or n_z4 or n_z5 or n_z6

```

```

        or n_z7 or n_z8 or n_z9 or n_z10 or n_z11) = '0'
    then null;
    else
        y1 <= n_y1; y2 <= n_y2;
        z1 <= n_z1; z2 <= n_z2; z3 <= n_z3; z4 <= n_z4; z5 <= n_z5; z6 <= n_z6;
        z7 <= n_z7; z8 <= n_z8; z9 <= n_z9; z10 <= n_z10; z11 <= n_z11;
    end if;
end if;
END PROCESS p2; END BEHAVIOR;

```

The commentaries in Listing 1 begin with two hyphens and continue up to the end of a line. As the output signals (and inner ones) of automaton PA are assigned from different sources, type `resolved_bit` is introduced that is defined by the corresponding resolution function that is placed in package `wire` (Listing 2). As the values of signals `y1` and `y2` transmitted in the architecture body (process `p1`) to inner signals `n_y1`, `n_y2`, the mode `inout` is used for signals `y1` and `y2`.

Listing 2. Package `wire` with resolution function `RES_FUNC`.

```

package wire is
    function RES_FUNC(DATA: in bit_vector) return bit;
    subtype RESOLVED_BIT is RES_FUNC bit;
end;
package body wire is
    function RES_FUNC(DATA: in bit_vector) return bit is
    begin
        for I in DATA'range loop
            if DATA(I) = '1' then
                return '1';
            end if;
        end loop;
        return '0';
    end;
end;

```

### 3. SEQUENT AUTOMATON

The sequent automaton is a model of a digital system functioning in discrete time and consist of set  $S$  of sequents  $s_i$ . Every sequent  $s_i$  is in form  $f_i \vdash k_i$  where  $f_i$  is Boolean function of input and inner variables,  $k_i$  is an elementary conjunction of inner and output variables. Every sequent  $f_i \vdash k_i$  describes a certain requirement to the behavior of the digital system: if  $f_i$  takes value 1 at some moment,  $k_i$  takes 1 as well directly after it (at the next time step of discrete time). At that, the values of all variables of  $k_i$  are defined unambiguously.

Let us consider the simple sequent automaton obtained from a parallel automaton by the programs of the system from [4]. It is given by nine sequents:

$$\overline{x_1}x_2z_0z_1 \vdash \overline{z_0}\overline{z_4}y_1\overline{y_2},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{x_2} \overline{z_0} \overline{z_1} \overline{z_4} &\vdash \overline{z_1} \overline{z_2}, \\
x_2 \overline{z_0} \overline{z_1} \overline{z_2} &\vdash \overline{z_2}, \\
\overline{x_1} \overline{z_1} \overline{z_4} &\vdash \overline{z_3} \overline{z_4} \overline{y_1}, \\
x_1 \overline{z_1} \overline{z_4} &\vdash \overline{z_3} \overline{z_4} y_2, \\
\overline{x_2} \overline{z_1} \overline{z_3} \overline{z_4} &\vdash \overline{z_3}, \\
\overline{z_0} \overline{z_1} \overline{z_2} \overline{z_3} \overline{z_4} &\vdash \overline{z_0} \overline{z_1} \overline{y_2}, \\
x_1 \overline{z_0} \overline{z_1} \overline{z_4} &\vdash \overline{z_0}, \\
\overline{z_0} \overline{z_1} &\vdash \overline{z_0} \overline{z_2} \overline{y_2},
\end{aligned}$$

Variables  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are input ones,  $z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$  inner and  $y_1, y_2$  output for the considered automaton. The sequent automaton is simple one as the functions  $f_i$  are represented by elementary conjunctions of input and output variables. We interpret the given sequent system as inertial sequent automaton and admit the following [5]: if the symbol of some inner variable is absent in all elementary conjunctions  $k_i$  corresponding to functions  $f_i$  that are equal to 1 at a current moment, then this variable is considered to keep its value; if the symbol of some output variable is absent, the variable is equal to 0. In CAD systems, a sequent automaton is given by a pair of ternary matrices with the same number of rows. One of the matrices gives the left parts of the sequents and the other matrix the right parts.

A method is suggested to transform a simple inertial automaton given by a pair of ternary matrices into a VHDL description represented in *data flow* style. Two interconnected processes (operator process) are used in the suggested VHDL model of sequent automaton. One of the processes analyzes input and inner variables of the sequents at the current time step  $t_i$ , selects the starting sequents and forms the next values of inner and output variables for the next time step  $t_{i+1}$  of discrete time. The other process sets the prepared next values as the current values at time step  $t_{i+1}$ . The time steps change at the front of the synchronization signal that as well as the signal setting the automaton into initial state is present implicitly both in the model of parallel automaton and in the model of sequent automaton.

Listing 3. VHDL model of sequent automaton, SEKV.

```

LIBRARY work;
USE work.wire.all;
ENTITY SEKV IS
    PORT (CLK, rst, x1, x2 : in bit;
          y1, y2 : out RESOLVED_BIT );
END;
ARCHITECTURE BEHAVIOR OF SEKV IS
    SIGNAL z0, z1, z2, z3, z4: RESOLVED_BIT := '1';
    SIGNAL n_z0, n_z1, n_z2, n_z3, n_z4 : RESOLVED_BIT;
    SIGNAL n_y1, n_y2 : resolved_bit ;
    BEGIN
p1: PROCESS (x1, x2, z0, z1, z2, z3, z4)

```

```

BEGIN
n_z0 <= z0; n_z1 <= z1; n_z2 <= z2; n_z3 <= z3; n_z4 <= z4;
n_y1 <= '0'; n_y2 <= '0';
if ( not x1 and x2 and z0 and z1) = '1' then -- line 1
n_z0 <= '0'; n_z4 <= '0'; n_y1 <= '1'; n_y2 <= '0';
end if;
if (not x2 and not z0 and z1 and not z4)='1' then -- line 2
n_z1 <= '0';n_z2 <= '0';
end if;
if (x2 and z0 and not z1 and not z2) = '1' then -- line 3
n_z2 <= '1';
end if;
if (not x1 and not z1 and not z4) = '1' then -- line 4
n_z3 <= '0'; n_z4 <= '1'; n_y1 <= '0';
end if;
if (x1 and not z1 and not z4 )='1' then -- line 5
n_z3 <= '1'; n_z4 <= '1'; n_y2 <= '1';
end if;
if (not x2 and not z1 and not z3 and z4) = '1' then -- line 6
n_z3 <= '1';
end if;
if (z0 and not z1 and z2 and z3 and z4 ) = '1' then -- line 7
n_z0 <= '0'; n_z1 <= '1'; n_y2 <= '0';
end if;
if (x1 and not z0 and z1 and z4) = '1' then -- line 8
n_z0 <= '1';
end if;
if (not z0 and not z1) = '1' then -- line 9
n_z0 <= '1'; n_z2 <= '0'; n_y1 <= '0';
end if;
END PROCESS p1;
p2: PROCESS (CLK, rst)
BEGIN
if (rst = '1') then
y1 <= '0'; y2 <= '0'; -- initial state
z0 <= '1'; z1 <= '1'; z2 <= '1'; z3 <= '1'; z4 <= '1';
elsif (rst = '0' ) then
if ( CLK='1' AND CLK'event) THEN
y1 <= n_y1; y2 <= n_y2;
z0 <= n_z0; z1 <= n_z1; z2 <= n_z2; z3 <= n_z3; z4 <= n_z4;
end if;
end if;
END PROCESS p2;
END BEHAVIOR;

```

In VHDL model of sequent automaton, SEKV, as in VHDL model of parallel automaton, PA, the same operator finding the front of the synchronization signal, CLK, is used. The automaton is set to the initial set (the values of all input and output variables are equal to 0) by value 1 of signal rst of permission that has the higher priority in comparison with other input signals. During the current time step, the input signals may change (it does not cause the change of output signals at the current time step) but the input signals must be set at the moment of changing a time step.

Using the VHDL models of parallel and sequent automata, logic circuits in the basis of the logic elements [2, page 159] from library of VLSI design based on the base matrix crystals have been constructed by LeonardoSpectrum synthesizer. According to the technique described in [5] a logic circuit of PLA with memory elements as RS-flip-flops has been constructed that implements the considered sequent automaton. The model of the given circuit is described in VHDL. The simulation showed the equivalence of the temporal behavior of all models, i.e. initial algorithmic models of parallel and sequent automata and three structure models of logic circuits.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The suggested methods for transformation of PRALU descriptions of parallel and sequent automata are easily implemented in computer programs and intended to create the integrated design environment LeonardoSpectrum-LOCON [4]. The author acknowledges Yu.V. Pottosin for useful discussions on this work.

The research is supported by International Scientific and Technology Center (Project B-986).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. D. Zakrevskij: *Parallel Algorithms for Logical Control*, Institute of Engineering Cybernetics of NAC of Belarus, Minsk 1999, 202 p. (in Russian)
- [2] P. N. Bibilo: *Synthesis of Logical Circuits Using VHDL*, Solon-R, Moscow, 2002, 384 p. (in Russian)
- [3] A. V. Kovalyov, Yu. V. Pottosin: *On decomposition of parallel logical control algorithms*. *Avtomatika i vychislitel'naya tekhnika*, 1988, № 1, pp. 8–13
- [4] V. I. Romanov: *Algorithmic design in LOCON system*, Logical design, Issue 5, Institute of Engineering Cybernetics of NAC of Belarus, Minsk, 2000, pp.137-146 (in Russian)
- [5] A. D. Zakrevskij: *Logical Synthesis of Cascade Networks*, Nauka, Moscow, 1981, 416 p. (in Russian)